NAVIGATION

GO Customize Threshold Requirements
GO Filter Rating Factors
GO Customize Renewal/Expansion Project Rating Tool
GO Customize New Project Rating Tool

CUSTOMIZE NEW AND RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

CoC Threshold Requirem *(Delete the X in the box next to any requirements you do not wish to include.)*

X	Coordinated Entry Participation
X	Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation
X	Documented, secured minimum match
	Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally
X	Project is financially feasible
X	Applicant is active CoC participant
X	Application is complete and data are consistent
	Data quality at or above 90%
X	Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 85%
X	Acceptable organizational audit/financial review

(The first five requirements ar the rating process either as Th Fa

FILTER RATING FACTORS

Select project type to edit

Select...

Using these drop-down menus, select which rating factors to show and customize

Select special populatio

Select...

CUSTOMIZE RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Delete the X in the box besides any rating factor below that you do not wish to include. If desired, adjust the factor/goal and point value for each measure. You can add additional locally-defined criteria below. See the Data Source Chart for information about where to obtain data to use in scoring.

	Performance Measures	Factor/Goal		Max Point Valu	
	Length of Stay				
	RRH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in				
	RRH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in				
	PSH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in				
	PSH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in				
X	TH (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180	days	20	points
X	TH (DV) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180	days	20	points
X	TH+RRH (General) - TH Component (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180	days	10	points
X	TH+RRH (DV) - TH Component - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180	days	10	points
	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in				
	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in				
	Exits to Permanent Housing				
X	RRH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90	%	25	points
X	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	80	%	25	points
X	PSH (General) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	90	%	25	points
X	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	90	%	25	points
X	TH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	80	%	25	points
X	TH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	80	%	25	points
X	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90	%	25	points
X	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	80	%	25	points
	Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)				
X	RRH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10	%	15	points
X	RRH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20	%	10	points
x	PSH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10	%	15	points
X	PSH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20	%	10	points
X	TH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10	%	15	points
X	TH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20	%	10	points
X	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10	%	15	points
X	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20	%	10	points
	New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
X	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project stayers	10	%	5	points
X	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project stayers	10	%	5	points
X	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project stayers	10	%	5	points
X	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project stayers	10	%	5	points
X	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project stayers	10	%	5	points
X	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project stayers	10	%	5	points
X	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project stayers	10	%	5	points
X	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project stayers	10	%	5	points
	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers				
	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers				
	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers				
	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers				
	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers				
	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers				
	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers				
	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers				
X	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project leavers	25	%	5	points
X	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project leavers	25	%	5	points
X	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project leavers	25	%	5	points
x	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project leavers	25	%	5	points
X	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project leavers	25	%	5	points
X	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project leavers	25	%	5	points
X	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project leavers	25	%	5	points
X	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	25	%	5	points
	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers				
	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers				
	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers				
	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers				
	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers				
	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers				
	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers				
	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers				

Serve High Need Populations *(select from drop-down menu)*

APR data on≥ 50% disability/zero income/unsheltered

X	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50	%	5	points

	Criteria	Value		Points	
X	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	40	%	5	points
	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability				
	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability				
	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation				
	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation				
x	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	75	%	5	points
x	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	60	%	5	points
	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability				
	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability				
	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation				
	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation				
X	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50	%	5	points
X	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	40	%	5	points
	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability				
	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability				
	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation				
	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation				
X	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50	%	5	points
X	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	40	%	5	points
	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability				
	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability				
	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation				
	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation				

Project Effectiveness

	Criteria	Value		Points	
	RRH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type				
	RRH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type				
	PSH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type				
	PSH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type				
	TH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type				
	TH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type				
	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type				
	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type				
X	RRH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95	%	10	points
	RRH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)				
X	PSH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95	%	10	points
	PSH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)				
X	TH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95	%	10	points
	TH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)				
X	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95	%	10	points
	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)				
X	RRH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes		10	points
X	RRH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes		10	points
X	PSH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes		10	points
x	PSH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes		10	points
X	TH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes		10	points
x	TH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes		10	points
X	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes		10	points
x	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes		10	points

Equity Factors

Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies

	Criteria	Value	Points	
X	Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes	10	points
X	Recipient's board of directors includes representation from at least one person with lived experience	Yes	10	points
X	Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience	Yes	10	points
	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers			

Program Participant Outcomes

	Criteria	Value	Points	
X	Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age	Yes	10	points
X	Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Yes	10	points
	Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age			

Other and Local Criteria   (select from drop-down menu)

		Criteria	Value	Points	
X	Applicant Narrative that CoC Scores	Project application is complete and in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes	5	points
X		PSH (General) - Project effectiveness: Recipient has described at least two effective strategies for communicating programming and eligibility criteria to community members, housing providers, and services providers	Yes	2.5	points
X		PSH (General) - Project effectiveness: Recipient has described at least two effective targeted outreach efforts to inform individuals and families with marginalized identities such as Black, Indigenous, People of Color(BIPOC),persons with disabilities, and LGBTQIA+,	Yes	2.5	points
X		PSH (General) - Positive Outcomes: Recipient has identified at least 4 specific program components tied to program goals and has clearly defined moving on strategy.	Yes	5	points
X		PSH (DV) - Project effectiveness: Recipient has described at least two effective strategies for communicating programming and eligibility criteria	Yes	2.5	points
X		PSH (DV) - Project effectiveness: Recipient has described at least two effective targeted outreach efforts to inform individuals and families with marginalized identities.	Yes	2.5	points
X		PSH (DV) - Postive Outcomes: Recipient has identified at least 4 specific program components tied to proram goals and has a clearly defined moving on strategy	Yes	5	points

Total Maximum Score

RRH-General projects:	130	points
RRH-DV projects:	115	points
PSH-General projects:	150	points
PSH-DV projects:	135	points
TH-General projects:	150	points
TH-DV projects:	135	points
TH+RRH-General projects:	140	points
TH+RRH-DV projects:	125	points

CUSTOMIZE NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL

	Experience	Factor/Goal	Max Point Value	
X	General-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.		15	points
X	DV-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.		15	points
X	General-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.		10	points
X	DV-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.		10	points

General-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.

DV-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.

Design of Housing & Supportive Services

X	General-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.	15	points
X	DV-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.	15	points
X	General-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	15	points
X	DV-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	15	points
X	General-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	10	points
X	DV-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	10	points
X	General-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.	5	points
X	DV-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.	5	points
X	General-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.	5	points
X	DV-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.	5	points

Timeliness

X	General-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	10	points
X	DV-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	10	points

Financial

	General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.		
	DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.		
	B. Organization's most recent audit:		
X	General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practices	5	points
X	DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practices	5	points
X	General-2. Identified agency as 'low risk'	5	points
X	DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk'	5	points
X	General-3. Indicates no findings	5	points
X	DV-3. Indicates no findings	5	points
X	General-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.	5	points
X	DV-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.	5	points
X	General-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	20	points
X	DV-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	20	points

Project Effectiveness

X	General-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals	95	%	5	points
	DV-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals			

Equity Factors

Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies

X	New project has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes	10	points
X	New project's organizational board of directors includes representation from at least one person with lived experience (per 578.75(g))	Yes	10	points
X	New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one	Yes	10	points
	New project has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes			

Program Participant Outcomes

X	New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review	10	points
X	New project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review	10	points
	New project describes plan to work with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review		

Other and Local Criteria

X	Application Narrative meets CoC Standards	5	points
X	Application Narrative meets CoC Standards	5	points
X	Recipient has described at least two effective strategies for communicating programming and eligibility criteria to community members, housing providers, and services providers	2.5	points
X	Recipient has described at least two effective strategies for communicating programming and eligibility criteria to community members, housing providers, and services providers	2.5	points
X	Recipient has described at least two effective targeted outreach efforts to inform individuals and families with marginalized identities such as Black, Indigenous, People of Color(BIPOC),persons with disabilities, and LGBTQIA+, of the program opportunities.	2.5	points
X	Recipient has described at least two effective targeted outreach efforts to inform individuals and families with marginalized identities such as Black, Indigenous, People of Color(BIPOC),persons with disabilities, and LGBTQIA+, of the program opportunities.	2.5	points
X	Positive Outcomes: Recipient has identified at least 4 specific program components tied to program goals and has clearly defined moving on strategy.	5	points
X	Positive Outcomes: Recipient has identified at least 4 specific program components tied to program goals and has clearly defined moving on strategy.	5	points

Total Maximum Score		General projects:	200 points

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name:
Organization Name:
Project Type: PSH (General)
Project Identifier:

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED		MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES					
Length of Stay					
Exits to Permanent Housing					
Permanent Supportive-Housing	90% remain in or move to PH	%		out of	25
Returns to Homelessness					
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	%		out of	15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income					
Earned income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	%		out of	5
Earned income for project leavers	25%+ of participants with new or increased income	%		out of	5
Performance Measures Subtotal			0	out of	50
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS					
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 75% of participants with zero income at entry	%		out of	5
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			0	out of	5
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS					
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	%		out of	10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model			out of	10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			0	out of	20
EQUITY FACTORS					
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies					
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation			out of	10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation			out of	10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience			out of	10
Program Participant Outcomes					
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by race, ethnicity, etc.			out of	10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes			out of	10
Equity Factors Subtotal			0	out of	50
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA					
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards			out of	5
PSH (General) - Project effectiveness: Recipient has	Yes			out of	2.5

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: PSH (General)

Project Identifie _____

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED		MAX POINT VALUE
PSH (General) - Project effectiveness: Recipient has	Yes			out of	2.5
PSH (General) - Positive Outcomes: Recipient has	Yes			out of	5
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			0	out of	**15**
TOTAL SCORE			0	out of	**140**
Weighted Rating Score			NOT RATED	out of	**100**

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	*NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab*	$
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
TOTAL PROJECT COST		$
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	*NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab*	$
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	*NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab*	$ -
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		**0%**

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____

Project Identifier: _____

New Projects
Rating Complete
0%

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED		MAX POINT VALUE
EXPERIENCE			
A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.		out of	15
B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.		out of	10
Experience Subtotal	0	out of	**25**
DESIGN OF HOUSING & SUPPORTIVE SERVICES			
A. Extent to which the applicant 1. Demonstrate understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2. Demonstrate type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served 3. Demonstrate type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meet the needs of the clients to be served. 4. Demonstrate how clients will be assisted in obtaining and coordinating the provision of mainstream benefits 5. Establish performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable, and meet or exceed any established HUD, HEARTH or CoC benchmarks.		out of	15
B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.		out of	15
C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.		out of	10
D. Project leverages housing resources with housing units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.		out of	10
E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.		out of	10
Design of Housing & Supportive Services Subtotal	0	out of	**60**
TIMELINESS			
A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.		out of	10
Timeliness Subtotal	0	out of	**10**
FINANCIAL			
B. Audit			
1. Most recent audit found no exceptions to standard practices		out of	5
2. Most recent audit identified agency as 'low risk'		out of	5
3. Most recent audit indicates no findings		out of	5

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____

Project Identifier: _____

New Projects
Rating Complete
| 0% |

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED		MAX POINT VALUE
C. Documented match amount		out of	5
D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable		out of	20
Financial Subtotal	0	out of	**40**

PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS

Project Effectiveness Subtotal	0	out of	**0**

EQUITY FACTORS

Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies

Recipient has BIPOC individuals in managerial and leadership positions		out of	10
Recipient's board of directors includes representation from persons with lived experience		out of	10
Recipient has process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience		out of	10

Program Participant Outcomes

Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age		out of	10
Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes		out of	10
Equity Factors Subtotal	0	out of	**50**

OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA   Yes

		POINTS AWARDED		MAX POINT VALUE	
General - Application Narrative meets CoC Standards	0		out of	5	0
DV - Application Narrative meets CoC Standards	0		out of	5	0
General - Recipient has described at least two effective strategies for communicating programming and eligibility criteria to community members, housing providers, and services providers	0		out of	2.5	0
DV - Recipient has described at least two effective strategies for communicating programming and eligibility criteria to community members, housing providers, and services providers	0		out of	2.5	0
General - Recipient has described at least two effective targeted outreach efforts to inform individuals and families with marginalized identitie of the program opportunities.	0		out of	2.5	0
DV - Recipient has described at least two effective targeted outreach efforts to inform individuals and families with marginalized identities of the program opportunities.			out of	2.5	0
General - Positive Outcomes: Recipient has identified at least 4 specific program components tied to program goals and has clearly defined moving on strategy.	0		out of	5	0
DV - Positive Outcomes: Recipient has identified at least 4 specific program components tied to program goals and has clearly defined moving on strategy.			out of	5	
			out of		
			out of		
			out of		
			out of		
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal	0		out of	**15**	

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____

Project Identifier: _____

New Projects
Rating Complete

0%

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED		MAX POINT VALUE
TOTAL SCORE	**0**	out of	**200**

Weighted Rating Score	out of	**100**

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	*NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab*	$
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
TOTAL PROJECT COST		$