

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	40 %	5 points
<input type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
<input type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
<input type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
<input type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	75 %	5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	60 %	5 points
<input type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
<input type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
<input type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
<input type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50 %	5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	40 %	5 points
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50 %	5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	40 %	5 points
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		

Project Effectiveness

<input type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
<input type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
<input type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
<input type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10 points
<input type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10 points
<input type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10 points
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10 points
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points

Equity Factors

Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recipient's board of directors includes representation from at least one person with lived experience	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience	Yes	10 points
<input type="checkbox"/> Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers		

Program Participant Outcomes

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age	Yes	10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Yes	10 points
<input type="checkbox"/> Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age		

Other and Local Criteria (select from drop-down menu)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Applicant Narrative that CoC Scores	Project application is complete and in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes	5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Project effectiveness: Recipient has described at least two effective strategies for communicating programming and eligibility criteria to community members, housing providers, and services providers		Yes	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Project effectiveness: Recipient has described at least two effective targeted outreach efforts to inform individuals and families with marginalized identities such as Black, Indigenous, People of Color(BIPOC), persons with disabilities, and LGBTQIA+,		Yes	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Positive Outcomes: Recipient has identified at least 4 specific program components tied to program goals and has clearly defined moving on strategy.		Yes	5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Project effectiveness: Recipient has described at least two effective strategies for communicating programming and eligibility criteria		Yes	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Project effectiveness: Recipient has described at least two effective targeted outreach efforts to inform individuals and families with marginalized identities.		Yes	2.5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Positive Outcomes: Recipient has identified at least 4 specific program components tied to program goals and has a clearly defined moving on strategy		Yes	5 points

Total Maximum Score

RRH-General projects:	130	points
RRH-DV projects:	115	points
PSH-General projects:	150	points
PSH-DV projects:	135	points
TH-General projects:	150	points
TH-DV projects:	135	points
TH+RRH-General projects:	140	points
TH+RRH-DV projects:	125	points

CUSTOMIZE NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL

Experience

	Factor/Goal	Max Point Val
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.		15 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DV-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.		15 points
General-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.		10 points
DV-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.		10 points

General-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.

DV-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.

Design of Housing & Supportive Services

General-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks. 15 points

DV-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks. 15 points

General-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs. 15 points

DV-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs. 15 points

General-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently. 10 points

DV-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently. 10 points

General-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs. 5 points

DV-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs. 5 points

General-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization. 5 points

DV-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization. 5 points

Timeliness

General-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award. 10 points

DV-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award. 10 points

Financial

General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.

DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.

B. Organization's most recent audit:

General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practices 5 points

DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practices 5 points

General-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' 5 points

DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' 5 points

General-3. Indicates no findings 5 points

DV-3. Indicates no findings 5 points

General-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. 5 points

DV-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. 5 points

General-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. 20 points

DV-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. 20 points

Project Effectiveness

General-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals 95 % 5 points

DV-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals

Equity Factors

Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies

New project has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions 10 points

New project's organizational board of directors includes representation from at least one person with lived experience (per 578.75(g)) 10 points

New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one 10 points

New project has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes

Program Participant Outcomes

New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review 10 points

New project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review 10 points

New project describes plan to work with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review

Other and Local Criteria

Application Narrative meets CoC Standards 5 points

Application Narrative meets CoC Standards 5 points

Recipient has described at least two effective strategies for communicating programming and eligibility criteria to community members, housing providers, and services providers 2.5 points

Recipient has described at least two effective strategies for communicating programming and eligibility criteria to community members, housing providers, and services providers 2.5 points

Recipient has described at least two effective targeted outreach efforts to inform individuals and families with marginalized identities such as Black, Indigenous, People of Color(BIPOC),persons with disabilities, and LGBTQIA+, of the program opportunities. 2.5 points

Recipient has described at least two effective targeted outreach efforts to inform individuals and families with marginalized identities such as Black, Indigenous, People of Color(BIPOC),persons with disabilities, and LGBTQIA+, of the program opportunities. 2.5 points

Positive Outcomes: Recipient has identified at least 4 specific program components tied to program goals and has clearly defined moving on strategy. 5 points

Positive Outcomes: Recipient has identified at least 4 specific program components tied to program goals and has clearly defined moving on strategy. 5 points

Total Maximum Score

General projects: **200** points

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: _____ PSH (General) _____
 Project Identifier: _____

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES				
Length of Stay				
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	90% remain in or move to PH	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/> %	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 25
Returns to Homelessness				
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	≤ 10% of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to PH	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/> %	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/> %	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 5
Earned income for project leavers	25%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/> %	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 5
Performance Measures Subtotal			0	out of 50
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS				
Permanent Supportive-Housing	≥ 75% of participants with zero income at entry	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/> %	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 5
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			0	out of 5
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS				
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/> %	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			0	out of 20
EQUITY FACTORS				
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 10
Program Participant Outcomes				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by race, ethnicity, etc.	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 10
Equity Factors Subtotal			0	out of 50
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA				
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 5
PSH (General) - Project effectiveness: Recipient has	Yes	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 2.5

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: PSH (General)
 Project Identifier: _____

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED		MAX POINT VALUE
PSH (General) - Project effectiveness: Recipient has	Yes	<input style="width: 40px; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 40px; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	out of	2.5
PSH (General) - Positive Outcomes: Recipient has	Yes	<input style="width: 40px; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 40px; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	out of	5
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			0	out of	15
TOTAL SCORE			0	out of	140
Weighted Rating Score			NOT RATED	out of	100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ <input style="width: 80%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		<input style="width: 80%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>
Amount of private funding		<input style="width: 80%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ <input style="width: 80%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ <input style="width: 80%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ <input style="width: 80%; height: 15px;" type="text" value="-"/>
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		0%

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: _____
 Project Identifier: _____

New Projects
Rating Complete

0%

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
---------------	----------------	-----------------

EXPERIENCE

A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.	<input style="width: 100%; height: 30px;" type="text"/>	out of 15
B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	<input style="width: 100%; height: 80px;" type="text"/>	out of 10
Experience Subtotal	0	out of 25

DESIGN OF HOUSING & SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

A. Extent to which the applicant <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Demonstrate understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2. Demonstrate type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served 3. Demonstrate type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meet the needs of the clients to be served. 4. Demonstrate how clients will be assisted in obtaining and coordinating the provision of mainstream benefits 5. Establish performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable, and meet or exceed any established HUD, HEARTH or CoC benchmarks. 	<input style="width: 100%; height: 100px;" type="text"/>	out of 15
B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	<input style="width: 100%; height: 30px;" type="text"/>	out of 15
C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	<input style="width: 100%; height: 30px;" type="text"/>	out of 10
D. Project leverages housing resources with housing units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.	<input style="width: 100%; height: 30px;" type="text"/>	out of 10
E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.	<input style="width: 100%; height: 30px;" type="text"/>	out of 10
Design of Housing & Supportive Services Subtotal	0	out of 60

TIMELINESS

A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	<input style="width: 100%; height: 40px;" type="text"/>	out of 10
Timeliness Subtotal	0	out of 10

FINANCIAL

B. Audit		
1. Most recent audit found no exceptions to standard practices	<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	out of 5
2. Most recent audit identified agency as 'low risk'	<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	out of 5
3. Most recent audit indicates no findings	<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	out of 5

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: _____
 Project Identifier: _____

New Projects
Rating Complete

0%

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
---------------	----------------	-----------------

C. Documented match amount	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	out of 5
D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	out of 20

Financial Subtotal	0	out of 40
---------------------------	---	------------------

PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS

Project Effectiveness Subtotal	0	out of 0
---------------------------------------	---	-----------------

EQUITY FACTORS

Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies

Recipient has BIPOC individuals in managerial and leadership positions	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	out of 10
Recipient's board of directors includes representation from persons with lived experience	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	out of 10
Recipient has process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	out of 10

Program Participant Outcomes

Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	out of 10
Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>	out of 10

Equity Factors Subtotal	0	out of 50
--------------------------------	---	------------------

OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA

	Yes	
General - Application Narrative meets CoC Standards	0	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>
DV - Application Narrative meets CoC Standards	0	<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>
General - Recipient has described at least two effective strategies for communicating programming and eligibility criteria to community members, housing providers, and services providers		<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>
DV - Recipient has described at least two effective strategies for communicating programming and eligibility criteria to community members, housing providers, and services providers		<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>
General - Recipient has described at least two effective targeted outreach efforts to inform individuals and families with marginalized identities of the program opportunities.		<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>
DV - Recipient has described at least two effective targeted outreach efforts to inform individuals and families with marginalized identities of the program opportunities.		<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>
General - Positive Outcomes: Recipient has identified at least 4 specific program components tied to program goals and has clearly defined moving on strategy.		<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>
DV - Positive Outcomes: Recipient has identified at least 4 specific program components tied to program goals and has clearly defined moving on strategy.		<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>
		<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>
		<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>
		<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>
		<input style="width: 100%;" type="text"/>

Other and Local Criteria Subtotal	0	out of 15
--	---	------------------

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: _____
 Project Identifier: _____

New Projects
Rating Complete

0%

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
TOTAL SCORE	0	out of 200
Weighted Rating Score		out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$

New Project Ratings

						<i>Threshold Requirements</i>								
						CE Participation	Housing First/Low Barrier Implementation	Documented, Secured minimum match	Financially Feasible	Active CoC Participant	Application Complete	Acceptable Org audit/financial review		
Organization	Project Name	Type	Amount	Units	Beds									
						Supplemental Application - CoC Threshold Requirements Question 1	Esnaps Application - Question 3B- 3d	Esnaps Application - Question 6I (page 45 & 6J . Match must be no less than 25 percent of the total request, including Administration costs, but excluding Leasing costs (i.e., Leased Units and Leased Structures).	Esnaps Application - Question 6A- 6J	Supplemental Application - CoC Threshold Requirements Question 4	Full Esnaps and Supplemental Applications	Supplemental Application - CoC Threshold Requirements Question 5		

		Experience			
Organization	Project	Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if housing first approach any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application		Experience with utilizing a	Total Score:
		<i>See Supplemental Application - Experience Factors Question 1 & ESNAPS Question 2B.1</i>	<i>See Supplemental Application - Experience Factors Questions 2 & 3 & ESNAPS Question 3B.5</i>		
		Points Possible:	15	10	25

Timeliness			
			Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.
Organization	Project		
			<i>See Supplemental Application - Timeliness Question 1 & ESNAPS Question 3B.2</i>
		Points Possible:	10

		Equity Factors				
Organization	Project Type	New project has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	New project's organizational board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience (per 578.75(g))	New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one		Total Score:
		<i>See Supplemental Application - Equity Factors Question 1</i>	<i>See Supplemental Application - Equity Factors Question 3</i>	<i>See Supplemental Application - Equity Factors Question 4,4a</i>		
		Points Possible:	10	10	10	30

		Program Participant Outcome				
Organization	Project	New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review	New project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review			
		<i>See Supplemental Application - Equity Factors Question 6 & 7</i>	<i>See Supplemental Application - Equity Factors Question 6 & 7</i>			Total Score:
		Points Possible:	10	10		20

		Other Local Criteria							
Organization	Project	Application Narrative meets CoC Standards	Applicant has described at least two effective strategies for communicating programming and eligibility criteria to community members, housing providers, and services providers	Appliant has described at least two effective targeted outreach efforts to inform individuals and families with marginalized identities such as Black, Indigenous, People of Color(BIPOC),persons with disabilities, and LGBTQIA+, of the program o	Applicant has identified at least 4 specific program components tied to program goals				
		<i>Consider Overall Application, including all Supplemental Questions & ESNAPs Experience of Applicant, question 2B ; Project Description, question 3b.1; Information on Supportive Services, question 4a; and Budget, questions 6A -6J</i>	<i>See Supplemental Application - Design of Housing & Supportive Services Question 6</i>	<i>See Supplemental Application - Design of Housing & Supportive Services Question 7</i>	<i>See Supplemental Application - Design of Housing & Supportive Services Question 1</i>				Total Score:
		Points Possible:	5	2.5	2.5	5			15