NAVIGATION	
60 Customize Threshold Requirements	
GO Filter Rating Factors	
GO Customize Renewal/Expansion Project Rating Tool	
GO Customize New Project Rating Tool	
CUSTOMIZE NEW AND RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	
CoC Threshold Requirer (Delete the X in the box next to any requirements you do not wish to include.)	
X Coordinated Entry Participation	
X Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	
X Documented, secured minimum match	
Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally	(The first five requirements ar
X Project Is financially feasible	the rating process either as Thi Fa
X Applicant is active CoC participant	, u
X Application is complete and data are consistent	
Data quality at or above 90%	
X Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 85%	
X Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	

FILTER RATING F.	ACTORS	
Select project type to edit		Select special population
Select Using these drop-down menus, select which rating factors to show and customize		Select
CUSTOMIZE RENEWAL/EXPANSION	PROJECT RATING TOOL	
Delete the X in the box besides any rating factor below that you do not wish to include. If desired, adjust the factor/goal and point value for each measure. You can add additional locally-defined cri	an in farlan. Can the Darte Causes Chard for information a band when he about in data to use in an eigen-	
zeere me x n are oox destaes my ranny jocar below and you ao not wish to include, ij desteor, aajast me joctorigaal and point value jor each medsare, rou can aad aadaanaa oocany-aejmed ch Performance Measures	Factor/Goal	Max Point Va
Length of Stay	1 401017 6041	
RRH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in		
RRH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in		
PSH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in == PSH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in		
This (area) - On average, participants are project XX days.	180 days	20 points
X TH (DV) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180 days	20 points
X TH+RRH (General) - TH Component (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180 days	10 points
INH-KKH (DV) - IN Component - Un average, participants stay in project XX days	days	points
TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in		
TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in		
ixits to Permanent Housing X RRH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90 %	25 points
X RRH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	80 %	25 points
X PSH (General) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	90 %	25 points
X P5H (DV) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	90 %	points
X TH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	80 %	25 points
IT H (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	<u>80 %</u> 90 %	25 points
X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing X TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	80 %	25 points 25 points
		points
Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)		
RRH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing RRH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	<u> </u>	15 points
	10 %	10 points 15 points
Y PSH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing PSH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20 %	10 points
X TH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to nomelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing X TH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10 %	10 points 15 points
TH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to nomelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing TH (OV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20 %	10 points
1 Interpretation of the second sec	10 %	15 points
X Thread (DV) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20 %	10 points
New or Increased Income and Earned Income		
X RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project stayers	10 %	5 points
X RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project stayers	%	5 points
X PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project stayers	%	5 points
PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project stayers	%	5points
X TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project stayers	10 %	5 points
X TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project stayers	10 %	5 points
X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project stayers X TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project stayers	<u> 10 %</u> 10 %	5 points 5 points
RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project stayers RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	76	<u> </u>
RAH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment increase for project sayers RAH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment increase for project sayers		
PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		
PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		
TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		
TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		
TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		
TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		
X RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project leavers	%	5 points
X RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project leavers	%	5 points
SFH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project leavers	%	5 points
YSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project leavers	25 %	5 points
TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project leavers	25 %	5 points
Th (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project leavers	<u> </u>	5 points
C TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased total income for project leavers TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	<u> </u>	5 points 5 points
Introduction Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased armen ginopact taskers RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased on a-mployment income for project taskers	%	points
RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers		
Non (UV) within the test of participants with new of miceased non-reinput/mice miceased software participations and participati		
Part (certear) - minimum precent or participants while new or increased non-employment, income top topics, tears is PSH (DV) - Minimum precent or participants while new or increased non-employment income for project, tears is PSH (DV) - Minimum precent of participants when ever on reased non-employment income for project, tears is		
TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers		
TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers		
TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers		
TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers		
Serve High Need Populations (select from drop-down menu)		

 Serve High Need Populations
 (select from drop-down menu APR data one 50% disability/zero income/unsheltered

 X
 RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry

50

%

5 points

X RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	40 %	5 points
RBR (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
RBH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
x PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	75 %	5 points
x PS(10) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	60 %	5 points
PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
P5H (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
P5H (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
X TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50 %	5 points
T (VC)-Minimum precent of participants with zero income a entry X Th (VC)-Minimum precent of participants with zero income a entry	40 %	5 points
TH (Genera) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
X TH-RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	50 %	5 points
The second se	40 %	5 points
TH-RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
TH-RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
<u>Project Effectiveness</u>		
RRH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
RRH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
P3H (General) - costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type P3H (OP) - costs are within cal average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
In UVD - costs are within local average cost per positive noising exit for project type Th (General)- Costs are within local average cost per positive noising exit for project type		
In (veneral) - Costs are winnin cost average cost per positive notioning exist to project type TH I (IV) - Costs are winnin incle alverage cost per positive notioning exist to project type		
TH-HRRH (General) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
X RRH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10 points
RRH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)		
X PSH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10 points
PSH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)		
X TH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	points
TH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)		
X TH+RBR (General) - 88H Component - Coordinated Entry Participation-Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	95 %	10 points
TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referal (or alternative system for DV projects)		
x RRH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points
X R8H (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points
Y PSH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures		
	Yes	10points
x P3H (10)- Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project paticies and procedures	Yes	10points
X TH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points
X TH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10 points
TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures		
1 HHRH (DV) - RBH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier (molementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of protect policies and procedures	Yes	10points
x	Yes	10 points
Equity Factors		
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies X Reciper this under-representated individuals (BPOC, LGBTCL, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions	Yes	10 points
Image: The billing of the bi	Yes	10 points
X Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience	Yes	10 points
Recipient has reviewed interval policies and procedures with an equity jet and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers		
Program Participant Outcomes		
X Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age	Yes	10 points
X. Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Yes	10 points
Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age		
Other and Local Criteria (select from drop-down menu)		
X Applicant Narrate that Loc Scores Project application is complete and in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes	5 points
X FSI (General) - Project effectiveness: Recipient has described at least two effective strategies for communicating programming and eligibility criteria to community members, housing providers, and services providers	Yes	2.5 points
X PSH (General) - Project effectiveness: Recipient has described at least two effective targeted outreach efforts to inform individuals and families with marginalized identities such as Black, Indigenous, People of Color(BIPOC), persons with disabilities, and LGBTQIA-		2.5 points
X P5H (General) - Positive Outcomes: Recipient has identified at least 4 specific program components tied to program goals and has clearly defined moving on strategy.	Yes	5 points
X PSH (DV) - Project effectiveness: Recipent has described at least two effective strategies for communicating programming and eligibility criteria	Yes	2.5 points
∑ ipst (U). Project effectiveness: Recipient has described at less two effective strategies for communicating programming and enginetity criteria X PSH (U). Project effectiveness: Recipient has described at less two effective targeted outcome individuals and families with magnalized identities.	Yes	2.5 points 2.5 points
Tori (V) * Proget electrones. Recipient has securitized a least severe targeted outceast initiation minimum and analysis and a many minimum. X PSH (V) = Posteve outceasts: Recipient has identified at least severe targeted outceast initiation and a many minimum and analysis at least severe targeted outceast. X PSH (V) = Posteve outceasts: Recipient has identified at least severe targeted outceast initiation and analysis.	Yes	5 points
		<u> </u>

Total Maximum Score	RRH-General projects: 130 points
	RRH-DV projects: 115 points
	PSH-General projects: 150 points
	PSH-DV projects: 135 points
	TH-General projects: 150 points
	TH-DV projects: 135 points
	TH+RRH-General projects: 140 points
	TH+RRH-DV projects: 125 points
CUSTOMIZE NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL	

Experience	Factor/Goal	Max P	oint Valı
X General-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.		15	points
X DV-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.		15	points
General-8. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marial tatas, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. X Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.		10	points
DV-8. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1] eligibility criteria; 21 process for accepting new clients; 31 process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression.		10	points

General-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable),

regular drawdowns, timery resolution of monitoring tinaings, and timery submission of required reporting on existing grants.	
DV-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.	
Design of Housing & Supportive Services	
General-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive vectors, regardless can be thered of a clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.	15points
DV-A. Extent to which the applicant 1] Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.	15 points
X General-8. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	15 points
X DV-8. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	15 points
X General-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	10 points
X DV-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	10 points
X General-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.	5 points
X DV-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.	5 points
X General-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.	5 points
X DF-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.	5 points
Timeliness	
General-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	10 points
X DV-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	10 points
Financial	
General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.	
DV-A Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.	
B. Organization's most recent audit:	
X General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practicess	5 points 5 points
X DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard gracticess X General-2. Identified agency as 'low risk'	5 points
	points
X DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk'	5 points
X General-3. Indicates no findings	5 points
X DV-3. Indicates no findings	5 points
X General-C Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.	5 points
X 0x-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.	5 points
X General-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	20 points
X DV-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	20 points
Project Effectiveness	
x General-Coordinated by Participation-Minimum percent of entries projected to come from C referrals 95 %	5 points
DV-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals	
Equity Factors	
Agency leadership, Governance, and Policies	10 points
X New project has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions Yes X New project's organizational board of directors includes representation from at least one person with lived experience (per 578.75(g)) Yes	10 points 10 points
A New projects arguinational used to direction in run at least one period wait inter dependence (per 51.4.20) The T New projects arguinational used to direction in run at least one period wait inter dependence (per 51.4.20) The	10 points
Image project has released integral procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes	<u>10</u> points
Program Participant Outcomes	
Program Participant Outcomes X New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review	10 points
X Interproject describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review	10 points
The project describes plan to review weater programmatic unarges are needed to make program parturbant outcomes more equivable and served as a plan to make unou changes in anealy implementing plan, describe findings from review	points
we project addrines plan to work with minis head to be every a schedule for reviewing minis data with obsaggregation by race, etimicity, geneer dentity, and or/age. It already implementing plan, desched initiation interview Other and Local Criteria	
Cincer and Docar Cincera	F
A polyciton wardsow meets (u. standauts) X application startsow meets (u. standauts) X application startsow meets (u. standauts)	5 points 5 points
Approximation startation meets (up. standards) The start the second start and the start start and the start start start and the start s	2.5 points
Recipient has deschede a least we entitie strategies tot communicating topgarming and eigenary circuits (a community members, housing provides, and services provides) Recipient has deschede a least we entities we deficute strategies for communicating topgarming and eigenary circuits (a community members, housing provides, and services provides)	2.5 points
Recipient has described a tests we entries stategies to transmustang plogramma are eigenary citrate to community memory, nousing provees, and service provees we service proves we service recent and the service at least we detect the regression of community memory memory we service recent and the service at least we detect the regression of community memory we detect the regression of commun	2.5 points
Inceptent has described as least two effective tragted outreach efforts to inform individuals and hamiles with marginalized dentities such as latel, indigenous, People of CologitaVL, persons with diabilities, and such as a latel, indigenous, People of CologitaVL, persons with diabilities, and such as latel. The person with advantage of the persons with diabilities and as latel. Indigenous, People of CologitaVL, persons with diabilities and as latel. Indigenous, People of CologitaVL, persons with diabilities and as latel. Indigenous, People of CologitaVL, persons with diabilities and as latel. Indigenous feedback and the second as latel. I	2.5 points 2.5 points
Recipient tas described at least two effective targeted outreact entrists on inform informations and trainies with marginalized entrities on as lanks, independent tas described at least two effective targeted outreact entrists on inform informations and trainies with marginalized entrities on as lanks, independent tas described at least target. To strive Uncomes: Recipient has laterified at least targetift experiments.	2.5 points 5 points
Tostive Uutcomes: Receptent has steentined at least: 4 specific program components too to program goals and has clearly defined among on strategy. Tostive Uutcomes: Receptent has identified at least 4 specific program components too to program goals and has clearly defined among on strategy.	5 points 5 points
	5 points

Total Maximum Score

General projects: 200 points

RENEWAL/EXPANSIO	N PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name	::	_				
Organization Name	2:	-				
Project Type	e: PSH (General)	_	·	-		
Project Identifier	n	-				
RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL		PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED		MAX POINT VALUE
PERFORMANCE MEASURES						
Length of Stay						
Exits to Permanent Housing						
Permanent Supportive-Housing	90% remain in or move to PH		%		out of	25
Returns to Homelessness						
Within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	\leq 10% of participants return to homelessness within 2	12 months of exit to PH	%		out of	15
New or Increased Income and Earned Income						
Earned income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income		%		out of	5
Earned income for project leavers	25%+ of participants with new or increased income		%		out of	5
	Performance Measures Subtotal			0	out of	50
SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS						
Permanent Supportive-Housing	\ge 75% of participants with zero income at entry		%		out of	5
	Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			0	out of	5
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS						
Coordinated Entry Participation	≥ 95% of entries to project from CE referrals		%		out of	10
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model				out of	10
	Project Effectiveness Subtotal			0	out of	20
EQUITY FACTORS						
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies						
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation				out of	10
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation				out of	10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience				out of	10
Program Participant Outcomes						
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by race, ethnicity, etc.				out of	10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes				out of	10
	Equity Factors Subtotal			0	out of	50
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA						
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	5			out of	5
PSH (General) - Project effectiveness: Recipient has	Yes				out of	2.5

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name:					
Organization Name					
Project Type	: PSH (General)				
Project Identifie					
RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMAN	CE POINTS AWARDED		MAX POINT VALUE
PSH (General) - Project effectiveness: Recipient has	Yes			out of	2.5
PSH (General) - Positive Outcomes: Recipient has	Yes			out of	5
	Other and Local Criteria Subtotal		0	out of	15
				L.	
	TOTAL SCORE		0	out of	140
	Weighted Rating Score		NOT RATED	out of	100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab	\$
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		
Amount of private funding		
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab	\$
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab	\$ -
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		0%

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name:				
Organization Name:	New Projects			
Project Type:	Rating Complete	7		
Project Identifier:	0%			
RATING FACTOR		POINTS AWARDED		MAX POINT VALUE
EXPERIENCE				
A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the proposed population and in providing housing similar to the proposed population and populatio	the application.		out of	15
B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clie preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, sta status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	ate, or local law or ordinance), marital		out of	10
Experience Subtotal		0	out of	25
DESIGN OF HOUSING & SUPPORTIVE SERVICES				
 A. Extent to which the applicant 1. Demonstrate understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2. Demonstrate type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served 3. Demonstrate type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meet the needs of the clients to be served. 4. Demonstrate how clients will be assisted in obtaining and coordinating the provision of mainstream benefits 5. Establish performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable, and meet or exceed any established HUD, HEARTH or CoC be 	nchmarks.		out of	15
B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.			out of	15
C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.			out of	10
D. Project leverages housing resources with housing units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.			out of	10
E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.			out of	10
Design of Housing & Supportive Services Subtotal		0	out of	60
TIMELINESS				
A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detai days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	led schedule of proposed activities for 60		out of	10
Timeliness Subtotal		0	out of	10
FINANCIAL B. Audit				
Audit I. Most recent audit found no exceptions to standard practices			out of	5
2. Most recent audit identified agency as 'low risk'		╞───┤	out of	5
3. Most recent audit indicates no findings		\vdash		5
5. Wost recent addit matcates no midiligs			out of	5

NEW PROJECTS RATING TOO	DL		
Project Name:			
Organization Name:	New Projects		
Project Type:	Rating Complete		
Project Identifier:	0%		
RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED		MAX POINT VALUE
C. Documented match amount		out of	5
D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable		out of	20
Financial Subtotal	0	out of	40
PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS		-	
		-	
Project Effectiveness Subtotal	0	out of	0
EQUITY FACTORS			
Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies Recipient has BIPOC individuals in managerial and leadership positions		out of	10
Recipient's board of directors includes representation from persons with lived experience		out of	10
Recipient s board of directors includes representation from persons with lived experience Recipient has process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience			10
Program Participant Outcomes		out of	10
Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and	d/or are	out of	10
Recipient has reviewed programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those c		out of	10
Equity Factors Subtotal 0			
	U	out of	50
OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA Yes			
General - Application Narrative meets CoC Standards 0		out of	5 ₀
DV - Application Narrative meets CoC Standards 0		out of	5 ₀
General - Recipient has described at least two effective strategies for communicating programming and eligibility criteria to community members, how	busing providers, and services providers	out of	2.5 ₀
DV - Recipient has described at least two effective strategies for communicating programming and eligibility criteria to community members, housing	g providers, and services providers	out of	2.5 ₀
General - Recipient has described at least two effective targeted outreach efforts to inform individuals and families with marginalized identitie of the	program opportunities.	out of	2.5 0
DV - Recipient has described at least two effective targeted outreach efforts to inform individuals and families with marginalized identities of the prog	gram opportunities.	out of	2.5 0
General - Positive Outcomes: Recipient has identified at least 4 specific program components tied to program goals and has clearly defined moving o	on strategy.	out of	5 0
DV - Positive Outcomes: Recipient has identified at least 4 specific program components tied to program goals and has clearly defined moving on stra	rategy.	out of	5
		out of	
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal	0	out of	15
		-	

	NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL				
Project Name:					
Organization Name:		New Projects			
Project Type:		Rating Complete			
Project Identifier:		0%			
			POINTS		MAX POINT
RATING FACTOR			AWARDED	-	VALUE
	TOTAL SCORE		0	out of	200
	Weighted Rating Score			out of	100
	PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION				
CoC funding requested	NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tal)		\$	
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)					

\$

Amount of private funding

TOTAL PROJECT COST

Threshold							
Project Name	Туре	Amount	Expansion?	Consolidation?	Units	Beds	Bed/Unit Utilization (85% or above)
							HMIS Data (provided by CoC Team)
	Project Name	Project Name Type	Project Name Type Amount Image: Strategy of the strateg	Project Name Type Amount Expansion? Image: Strain S	Project NameTypeAmountExpansion?Consolidation?Image: Section of the sec	Project NameTypeAmountExpansion?Consolidation?UnitsNorman Series Serie	Project NameTypeAmountExpansion?Consolidation?JuitsBedsImage: Strain

CE Participation	Housing First/Low Barrier Implementation	Documented, Secured minimum match Esnaps Application -	Financially Feasible	Active CoC Participant	Application Complete	Acceptable Org audit/financial review
Supplemental Application - CoC Threshold Requirements Question 1	Esnaps Application - Question 3-a 3d	Question 6D & 6E. Match must be no Esnaps less than 25 percent Application of the total request, Question 6A		Supplemental Application - CoC Threshold Requirements Question 2	Full Esnaps and Supplemental Applications	Supplemental Application - CoC Threshold Requirements Question 3

			Performance Measures						
Organization	Project Name								
			permanent housing (≥90%)	% return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing (≤10%)	project stayers (≥10%)	project leavers (≥25%)	% participants with zero income at entry (≥75%)	# participants in reporting year	Total Score:
			HMIS Data (provided by CoC	HMIS Data (provided by CoC	HMIS Data (provided by CoC	HMIS Data (provided by CoC	HMIS Data (provided by CoC	HMIS Data (provided by CoC	
			Team)	Team)	Team)	Team)	Team)	Team)	
		Points Possible:	25	15	5	5	5	N/A	55
									-

Project Effectiveness					
Organization	Project Name		C.E. Participation (≥95%)	Fidelity to Housing First	Total Score:
			See Supplemental Appliation - Project Effectiveness Factors Section Question 1	See Supplemental Application - Project Effectiveness Section Question 3 & ESNAPS Application - Questions 3a- 3d	
		Points Possible:	1(0 10	2

Project Name		Under-represented individuals in managerial and leadership positions	representation from at least one person with lived expertise	t receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience	Total Score:
		See Supplemental Application - Equity Factors Section, Question 1	Application - Equity Factors	See Supplemental Application - Equity Factors Section, Question 4, 4a	
	Points Possible:		.0 10) 10	30
			I		
			I		
			I		
	Project Name Image: I		Project Name individuals in managerial and leadership positions See Supplemental Application - Equity Factors Section, Question 1	Project Name individuals in managerial and leadership positions representation from at least one person with lived expertise Image:	Project Name individuals in managerial and leadership positions representation from at least one person with lived expertise receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience Image: Ima

Organization	Project Name		race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age	Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Total Score:
			See Supplemental Application - Equity Factors Section, Question 6	See Supplemental Application - Equity Factors Section, Question 7, 7a	
		Points Possible:	10	10	20
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

			Other Local Criteria				
Organization	Project Name		Full Application Narrative Meets CoC Standards	Described at least two different effective strategies for communitating Programming and Eligibility Criteria to community members, housing providers, and service providers	Described at least two effective targeted outreach efforts to inform families and families with marginalized identities such as Black, Indigenous, People of Color (BIPOC), persons with disabilities, LGBTQIA+ of program opportunities	Identified at least 4 specific program components tied to program goals and has a clearly defined moving on strategy	Total Score:
				See Supplemental Application - Project Effectiveness Factors Section, Question 4		See Supplemental Application - Positive Outcomes Section, Questions 1 & 2	
		Points Possible:	5	2.5	2.5	5	15
				1			

						Threshold Requirements						
						CE Participation	Housing First/Low Barrier	Documented, Secured	Financially	Active CoC	Application Complete	Acceptable Org
							Implementation	minimum match	Feasible	Participant		audit/financial review
Organization	Project Name	Туре	Amount	Units	Beds							
						Supplemental Application	Esnaps Application -	Esnaps Application -	Esnaps	Supplemental	Full Esnaps and	Supplemental Application -
						CoC Threshold	Question 3B- 3d	Question 6I (page 45 &	Application -	Application - CoC	Supplemental	CoC Threshold
						Requirements Question 1		6J . Match must be no	Question 6A- 6J	Threshold	Applications	Requirements Question 5
								less than 25 percent of		Requirements		
								the total request,		Question 4		
								including Administration				
								costs, but				
								excluding Leasing costs				
								(i.e., Leased Units and				
								Leased Structures).				

			Experience			
Ogranization Project		Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application	Total Score			
			See Supplemental Application - Experience Factors Question 1 & ESNAPS Question 2B.1	See Supplemental Application - Experience Factors Questions 2 & 3 & ESNAPS Question 3B.5		
		Points Possible:	15	10		25

		Design of Housing and Supportive Services					
Organization		Demonstrates understanding of needs of clients & fit of proposed housing & services to meet these needs; Demonstrates how clients will be connected to mainstream benefits; Established objective performance measures.	f Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs	Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.	Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization	Fotal Score:
	-	See Supplemental Design of Housing & Supportive Services Factors Questions 1,1a&b,3 & ESNAPS Application - Question 4A.2	See Supplemental Application - Design of Housing & Supportive Services Factors Question 1d & ESNAPS Application - Question 4A.1	See Supplemental Application - Design of Housing & Supportive Services Factors Question 1.e	See Supplemental Application - Design of Housing & Supportive Services Factors Question 3	See Supplemental Application - Design of Housing & Supportive Services Factors Question 4	
	Points Possible:	15	15	10	10	10	60

		Timeliness	
			Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.
Organization	Project		
			See Supplemental Application - Timeliness Question 1 & ESNAPS Question 3B.2
		Points Possible:	10

			Financial					
Organization	Project		excentions to standard	Most recent financial audit: (2) Identified the agency as "low risk."	Most recent financial audit: (3) Indicated no findings.	Documented Match amount meets HUD requiremements	Budgeted costs and reasonable, allocable, and allowable	otal core:
			See Supplemental	See Supplemental	See Supplemental			
			Application - Financial	Application - Financial	Application - Financial	See ESNAPS Application -	See ESNAPS Application	
			Factors Question 1a	Factors Question 1b	Factors Question 1c	Question 6I-J	- Questions 6A -6J	
		Points Possible:	5	5	5	5	20	40

			Equity Factors			
Organization Project Type		representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership		New project's organizational board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience (per 578.75(g))	New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one	Total Score:
			See Supplemental Application -	See Supplemental Application -	See Supplemental Application -	
			Equity Factors Question 1	Equity Factors Question 3	Equity Factors Question 4,4a	
		Points Possible:	10	10	10	3

			Program Participant Outcome			
Organization	Project		New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review	New project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review	Total Score	:
			See Supplemental Application - Equity Factors Question 6 & 7	See Supplemental Application - Equity Factors Question 6 & 7		
		Points Possible:	10	10	20	

		01	her Local Criteria					
Organization	Project		••	Applicant has described at least two effective strategies fo communicating programming and eligibility criteria to community members, housing providers, and services providers	 Appliant has described at least two effective targeted outreach efforts to inform individuals and families with marginalized identities such as Black, Indigenous, People of Color(BIPOC),persons with disabilities, and LGBTQIA+, of the program o 	Applicant has identified at least 4 specific program components tied to program goals	Total Score	::
			Consider Overall Application, including all Supplemental Questions & ESNAPs Experience of Applicant, question 2B; Project Description, question 3b.1; Information on Supportive Services, question 4a; and Budget, questions 6A -6J	See Supplemental Application - Design of Housing & Supportive Services Question 6	See Supplemental Application - Design of Housing & Supportive Services Question 7	See Supplemental Application - Design of Housing & Supportive Services Question 1		
		Points Possible:	5	2	5 2.5	5 5	15	